Executive Summary

This 2002 update is the second update of the Indian River Lagoon SWIM Plan which was first adopted by the state in 1989 in compliance with the 1987 SWIM Act (Chapter 373.451-373.4595, F.S.). Considerable progress has been made since the last plan update, which was completed in 1994; but there is still much more work that should be done. This update documents the accomplishments, the current problems, and lays out a plan for future work. This update is also timely; prepared at a time when the Indian River Lagoon (IRL) restoration program, in general, is entering into an unprecedented era of major funding partnerships, both local and federal. These partnership programs are aimed at acquiring environmentally strategic lands for preservation or restoration purposes and implementing large-scale construction projects with specific water quality, seagrass, emergent wetland, or other resource targets in mind.

This 2002 plan update includes a status report on the state of the Lagoon, a summary of progress on projects undertaken since the last update, and recommendations for future projects and other actions over the next 5 years (including the major partnership programs mentioned above). This plan update received reviews by the general public and by state, federal, and local agencies, many of which have been active partners with the St. Johns River and South Florida Water Management Districts in conducting the plan's programs since 1989.

The reader, when casting a comparative glance between this update and previous plan documents, will immediately notice a major change in format. Previous IRL SWIM plans were organized by program; each program serving as a major chapter or section heading: Water and Sediment Quality; Habitat Preservation and Restoration, etc. This document, however, is organized by geographic region, beginning with a chapter offering a Lagoon-wide Overview (Chapter 2), followed by chapters on the major sublagoon watersheds: Mosquito Lagoon (Chapter 3), Banana River Lagoon (Chapter 4), North and Central IRL (Chapter 5), South IRL (Chapter 6), and St. Lucie River (Chapter 7). Then, within each chapter, the descriptions of resource status, issues, project objectives and progress, and planned activities are covered under the three major programs: Seagrass & Water Quality, Coastal Wetlands, and Public Involvement and Education. The 2002 IRL SWIM Plan update is designed so that a reader with an interest in a specific sub-lagoon area can locate most of its information within a single chapter. Hopefully, by this format change, the reader will find this plan update more informative and interesting.

The three programs, Seagrass & Water Quality, Coastal Wetlands, and Public Involvement and Education, address the three IRL SWIM goals, which have remained unchanged since they were first established for the development of the 1989 IRL SWIM Plan. These three goals and their corresponding objectives are presented on the next page (Figure A).

i

Figure A. Goals and Objectives* of the 2002 SWIM Seagrass & Water Quality Objectives Plan for the Indian River Lagoon Restore lost seagrass beds and preserve existing seagrass beds (largely by fulfilling the objectives below) Manage excessive freshwater inflows to Goal L. To attain and maintain water minimize their impacts on salinity** and sediment of sufficient quality (",... Decrease inputs of suspended materials from . to Class IVI or better . . . ", Chapter point and non-point sources** 373.453, F.S.) in order to support a Decrease inputs of excessive loadings of healthy, macrophyte-based, estuarine nutrients from point and non-point sources** lagoon ecosystem. Eliminate or reduce the releases of toxic substances from point and non-point sources Coastal Wetland Objectives Restore function of impounded and other impacted marshes Preserve existing marshes Create new marshes Goal II. To attain and maintain a Seagrass & Water Quality, functioning macrophyte-based Coastal Wetland, and Interagency ecosystem which supports endangered Coordination Objectives and threatened species, fisheries and wildlife. Review rules and revise as necessary to protect water quality and aquatic habitats Ensure adequate level of rule enforcement & compliance Improve coordination among agencies to implement regulatory, research, monitoring and other mgmt. projects efficiently Continue to improve administrative support of programs Public Involvement and Education Objectives Develop a public awareness campaign Goal III. To achieve heightened public concerning the IRL and restoration awareness and coordinated interagency programs that benefit it management of the Indian River Lagoon Continue to improve administrative support ecosystem that results in the and seek ways to coordinate with other accomplishment of the two aforepublic information programs mentioned goals. *The set of objectives above are slightly different from those in the 1994 IRL SWIM Plan. Please read Chapter 1, p. 1-3 for an explanation of changes. ** Objective served by development of and compliance with Pollutant Load Reduction Goals (PLRGs), which would be considered in the development of federal and state Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs).

Another program specific to the St. Lucie River generally complies with the three aforementioned goals, but has additional objectives related to the recovery of oyster habitat in the lower and middle reaches of the River and the enhancement of a fisheries nursery habitat in the River's upper reach. The key performance indicators are the reestablishment of viable oyster habitat and submerged aquatic vegetation to their respective target levels.

The Districts' IRL and St. Lucie River programs are closely coordinated with several agency management plans and programs. It's important that these programs coordinate with other agencies to ensure consistency in the management of seagrasses and other important estuarine resources, pollution controls (e.g., pollutant load reduction goals, non-point and point source controls), and coastal wetlands. Increasingly, it's becoming a budgetary necessity for the Districts and other governmental programs to share costs and labor associated with restoration monitoring, applied research, and management. Much of what has been accomplished in the past decade is due to the cooperation and efforts of many agencies -- local, regional, state, and federal.

And, much has been accomplished in addressing the issues, goals and objectives of the IRL SWIM Plan.

Our understanding of the relationship between water quality and seagrass has advanced considerably, and thus, the factors that probably do or do not control seagrass distribution in the IRL system. This understanding is an important pre-requisite for the development of final pollutant load reduction goals (or PLRGs). PLRGs¹ can be viewed as "design criteria" for projects or strategies whose purpose is the improvement of water quality or clarity, the major Lagoon-wide factor influencing seagrass coverage. Even though final PLRGs are not recommended at this time, provisional water quality or pollutant load reduction targets have been established to enable the design of many non-point source projects to begin pollution abatement now rather than later. There has been significant achievement in the control of point sources with the removal of more than 28 billion gallons of discharge from domestic wastewater treatment plants during the 7-year period from 1993 to 2000. Nearly 56,000 acres of wetlands and uplands have been acquired for the purpose of constructing water quality remediation projects as well as for habitat preservation or rehabilitation. More than a half-million cubic yards of harmful muck sediment deposits were removed from tributary creeks and canals; a precursor of more, larger scale muck removal projects in the future.

So, as a result of all this work, is there any improvement in water quality or seagrass coverage? There has been measurable improvement, but it's difficult to ascertain whether the improvement is primarily a result of restoration efforts or a response to weather patterns or other natural events. There has been a net gain in seagrass coverage of nearly 4,000 acres from 1992 to 1999 (65,700 to 69,700 acres, respectively). The greatest gains in seagrass acreage are in areas that had experienced the greatest losses since1943. It's possible that the long drought in the late1990s may have been largely responsible for this positive trend; nonetheless, the cumulative effect of restoration work now and in the future should help to maintain this trend.

iii

It is presumed by Florida Department of Environmental Protection that final recommended PLRGs may be heavily relied upon for the development of the EPA-mandated Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) as stipulated in the Florida Watershed Restoration Act (Chapter 403.067, F.S.).

The most tangible and immediate improvement in the IRL system is the hydrologic reconnection of more than 23,000 acres of impounded wetlands since 1989. Impoundment reconnections restore many of the estuarine functions provided by salt marsh and mangrove wetlands. Once they are reconnected, fisheries utilization of the wetlands increase essentially overnight, a more natural and diverse vegetative community and its associated fauna are given a chance to recover and usually do.

There has certainly been a noticeable increase in the public's awareness of the Lagoon's problems and its ecology, and the public's understanding of the projects -- federal through local -- that benefit the Lagoon's recovery and management. Public concern for maintaining a stable, productive human community with a good quality of life includes a healthy Lagoon system.

Much has been accomplished, but more work remains to be done to reach the targets established for seagrass and coastal wetland restoration. During the past decade, the greatest Lagoon-wide seagrass coverage documented or mapped was 69,700 acres in 1999. The ultimate or maximum target is coverage to 1.7 meters depth for much of the Lagoon, representing more than 118,000 acres of seagrass. With respect to the reconnection of impounded wetlands, the total acreage target is more than 37,000 acres. Currently, the reconnected acreage stands at about 28,000; a major advance toward the target. However, the remaining 9,000 acres is proving to be a much greater challenge to reconnect.

Based on the monitoring and diagnostic work to date, it is clear that future work on water quality and seagrass should be focused on non-point (stormwater) source controls. In particular, most of the effort will be directed at large-scale, watershed projects designed to annually reduce thousands to millions of pounds of key pollutants affecting water clarity and billions of gallons of freshwater discharges where it is determined to be excessive and detrimental to salinity regimes. These proposed watershed projects are located in the Central and South IRL and in the St. Lucie River watershed. Those are the areas in the IRL system where water quality and seagrasses (and oyster habitat in the case of St. Lucie River) have suffered most from such impacts, and the land requirements for these large projects can generally be met.

It is also clear that in order to fully achieve the coastal wetland targets, especially in the North and Central IRL, land ownership and marsh management issues need to be resolved. The remaining privately owned impoundments are strategically important wetland habitats relative to their location in the Lagoon basin and are unique relative to the surrounding landscape. Public acquisition is a prerequisite to their rehabilitation. Furthermore, the proper management of all reconnected impoundments is critical to the diversity and health of the IRL system.

Descriptions and budgets of planned work over the next 5 years (2002/03 – 2006/07) are provided in some detail in the following chapters, and a summary of the same 5-year budgets is provided at the end of this Executive Summary (Tables A and B). Most of the planned work and projected annual budgets reflect the focus on priority needs mentioned above. Other important work is also planned and described. This is work that can accelerate, enhance, or ensure restoration success (e.g., muck removal and the evaluation of alternatives to enhance Lagoon flushing); continue our vigilance on the status of the Lagoon (e.g., water quality and seagrass monitoring); gauge the efficacy of

implemented projects (e.g., stormwater treatment and muck removal projects); diagnose other potential problems related to seagrass or wetland resources; and further educate and involve the public in the management of the estuary.

The plan for the next 5 years – the projects, and their schedules and budgets – is dependent on a relatively high level of federal, state, regional, and local cooperation, whether that cooperation is manifest as cost-share or as project collaboration collecting data or building structures. An example of interagency partnership programs that can produce significant improvement in the IRL are those that exist between the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and each of the Districts: the recently initiated IRL-North Feasibility Study (SJRWMD/USACE) and the recently completed IRL-South Feasibility Study (SFWMD/USACE). Both study programs have the potential of drawing down hundreds of millions of federal dollars to implement a variety of solutions (mostly structural) that are determined feasible and cost-effective in helping meet SWIM plan objectives, especially the watershed PLRGs and other restoration targets cited above. Both Districts view these USACE partnership programs as the new *flagships* of the IRL restoration effort over the next 5 years and beyond.

If the USACE/Districts partnerships are considered the *flagships*, then the cooperation and work performed by local governments is the *frontline*. Success of the IRL programs will continue to be highly dependent on local government involvement – by cities, counties, mosquito control districts, and water control districts. Their collective involvement is typically demonstrated in the large amount of labor and equipment expended each year assisting the Districts in water quality and seagrass monitoring, reconnection and management of impounded coastal wetlands, land acquisition and management support, construction and maintenance of drainage treatment and erosion control systems, public education, and in many other activities. Much of this work is inkind service; that is, work that is taken on by local agencies and supported by their own budgets². Participation by cities, counties, and water control districts will likely grow as they work to meet their responsibilities for achieving PLRGs and related resource targets, and wetland management targets.

-

² It is not possible to accurately represent local government in-kind support in monetary terms for presentation in this updated plan; however, it is safe to assume the each county in the IRL basin (inclusive of city and water control district jurisdictions) contributes several hundreds of thousands of dollars to about a million dollars per any given year toward projects related to IRL management.

Resources

Restoration

Shoreline & Floodplain

Table A. Summary of SJRWMD (SJ) and SFWMD (SF) Budget Estimates **Projected Budgets by** includes ad valorem, IRLNEP (EPA), license plate, and state-Sub-Lagoon, Program. appropriated funds directed to the Districts & Fiscal Year A more detailed budget is found in Fiscal Year Chapter 8, Tables 8-1a through f. FY 04 FY 05 FY 02 FY 03 FY 06 FY 07 Monitoring, Research & PLRG development, Land Acquisition, Planning, Education Lagoon-wide **Projects** SJ: \$3.086M SJ: \$3.627M SJ: \$1.714M SJ: \$3,489M SJ: \$1.330M S.I: \$2 947M Seagrass & Water Quality SF: \$972,000 SF: \$1.135M SF: \$1.125M SF: \$1.199M SF: \$1.090M SF: \$1.073M SJ: \$24,000 SJ: \$29,000 SJ: \$44,000 SJ: \$38,000 SJ: \$44,000 SJ: \$50,000 Coastal Wetlands SF: \$5,000 SF: \$ 6,000 SF: \$ 6,000 SF: \$ 6,000 SF: \$ 6,000 SF: \$ 5,000 Public Involvement & SJ: \$417,000 SJ: \$320.000 SJ: \$580.000 SJ: \$414.000 SJ: \$414.000 SJ: \$417.000 Education SF: \$ 26,000 SF: \$ 30,000 SF: \$ 42,000 SF: \$ 29,000 SF: \$ 29,000 SF: \$ 42,000 Totals SJ: \$3.611M SJ: \$3.695M SJ: \$2.172M SJ: \$3.941M SJ: \$1.791M SJ: \$3.414M SF: \$1.003M SF: \$1.234M SF: \$1.120M rounded to nearest \$1,000 SF: \$1.171M SF: \$1.173M SF: \$1.125M Districts/IRLNEP SJ: \$165.000 SJ: \$165.000 SJ: \$165.000 SJ: \$165.000 SJ: \$165.000 SJ: \$165.000 Planning & SF: \$ 42,000 SF: \$ 92,594 SF: \$50,000 SF: \$ 99,750 SF: \$ 32,000 SF: \$ 23,216 Administration Model application, PLRG development, Research, Non-Point Source and Wetland Mosquito Lagoon Seagrass & Water Quality SJ: \$278,050 SJ: \$250,600 SJ: \$264,850 SJ: \$186,400 SJ: \$251,100 SJ: \$151,100 Coastal Wetlands* SJ: \$209,350 SJ: \$159,350 SJ: \$262,100 SJ: \$212,100 SJ: \$362,100 SJ: \$312,100 Totals \$396,000 \$437,000 \$513.000 \$477,000 \$613.200 \$463.200 rounded to nearest \$1,000 Model application, PLRG development, Research, Non-Point Source and Wetland Banana River Lagoon **Projects** Seagrass & Water Quality SJ: \$258,800 SJ: \$158,800 SJ: \$480,250 SJ: \$291,251 SJ: \$333,000 SJ: \$1.791M SJ: \$ 6,050 SJ: \$ 36,050 SJ: \$106,050 Coastal Wetlands SJ: \$ 6,050 SJ: \$ 56,050 SJ: \$106,050 Totals \$265,000 \$165,000 \$516,000 \$397,000 \$389,000 \$1.879M rounded to nearest \$1,000 Model application, PLRG development, Research, Non-Point Source and Wetland North & Central IRL* **Projects** Seagrass & Water Quality SJ: \$6.659M SJ: \$4.902M SJ: \$5.878M SJ: \$5.448M SJ: \$7.723M SJ: \$6.209M Coastal Wetlands SJ: \$124,150 SJ: \$473,650 SJ: \$235,400 SJ: \$110,400 SJ: \$221,400 SJ: \$110,400 **Totals** \$6.783M \$5.376M \$6.113M \$5.558M \$7.944M \$6.319M rounded to nearest \$1,000 Model application, PLRG development, Research, Non-Point Source and Wetland South IRL **Projects** Seagrass & Water Quality SF: \$737,650 SF: \$550,949 SF: \$2.605M SF: \$2.605M SF: \$2.965M SF: \$2.640M Coastal Wetlands SF: \$262,541 SF: \$257,700 SF: \$257,700 SF: \$232,700 SF: \$207,700 SF: \$182,700 **Totals** \$1.000M \$809,000 \$2.863M \$2.838M \$3.173M \$2.823M rounded to nearest \$1,000 Model application, PLRG development, Research, Non-Point Source and Wetland St. Lucie River* Projects Water Quality & Biological SF: \$22.18M SF: \$445.07M SF: \$167.47M SF: \$147.20M SF: \$58.87M SF: \$17.62M

SF: \$70,000

\$167.54M

SF: \$70,000

\$147.27M

SF: \$105,000

\$58.98M

SF: \$70,000

\$445.14M

SF: \$0

\$22.18M

Totals

rounded to nearest \$1,000

SF: \$115,000

\$17.74M

^{*} Most or all the funding from either the SJRWMD or SFWMD for these projects is counted as cost- share by the USACE in the IRL-North and IRL-South Feasibility Study programs, respectively. USACE's costs are shown in Table B.

Table B. Other Major Programs Benefiting the IRL System

- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE): Feasibility Studies
 & Project Implementation
- Blueway Land Acquisition Program (estimated land purchase costs only)
- A more detailed budget is found in Chapter 8, Table 8-2.
- St. Lucie River Issues Team

Fiscal Year

	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	FY 06	FY 07
IRL- NORTH Feasibility Study; USACE costs only IRL-North F.S. Project Management Plan, 6-17-02)*	\$81,300	\$1.550M	\$1.354M	\$430,000	\$302,000	\$212,000
IRL-SOUTH Feasibility Study & Project Implementation USACE costs only (based on IRL-South F.S. Report)*	\$3.300M	\$6.636M	\$23.872M	\$40.867M	\$89.255M	\$92.218M
BLUEWAY Land Acquisition (Phase I) — Approximate Land Purchase Costs** up to 7,705 acres in Mosquito Lagoon, Banana R. Lagoon, N. and Central IRL	\$0	\$4M	\$5M	\$5M	\$5M	\$10M
St. LUCIE RIVER Issues Team Legislative appropriations only	\$4M	\$5M	\$5M	\$5M	\$5M	\$5M

^{*} The IRL-North and IRL-South programs are funded 50/50 by the USACE and the Districts (as the local sponsors). The budgets shown above are the estimated USACE costs only. The Districts' match is represented in the preceding table as indicated by asterisks.

^{**} The total 1998 assessed value of the 8,857 acres of land targeted for Phase I of *Blueway* acquisition is ~\$60,000,000. Funds would be derived from individual county land acquisition programs (especially in the case of South IRL – St. Lucie and Martin counties), and from the state's Florida Forever program, FDOT mitigation bank, and the Districts' Save Our Rivers program.